

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,298	11/14/	2003	Charles Otis	200309850-1	1131	
22879	7590	05/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	PACKARD		HEINRICH, SAMUEL M			
	•	HARMONY RO RTY ADMINIS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COL	LINS, CO 80	527-2400		1725		
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/713,298	OTIS ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause			
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beganning and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday and the affiday and the affiday are the second to the second t	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 of changing "can comprise" to -comprising- changes the scope of the claims and requires further review and/or search. The amendment to claim 21 requires new review and/or search as it now encompasses more features than were added by combining claims 21 and 22.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with respect to newly amended claim 17 does not overcome the rejection at least because the intended use of the nozzle arrangement does not impart patentability to the apparatus. Note, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references.